

Math 7222 Lecture 28 - Katok Entropy R Rigidity

↑ Entropy for flow $\mathcal{F} = (f_t)$

$h(M, \mathcal{F}) := h(M, f_1)$ Makes sense is because Abramov proved

$$h(M, f_t) = |t| h(M, f_1)$$

(M, g) compact Riemannian surface with negative curvature

$h^*(g)$ - growth rate of periodic orbits (of course we proved $h^*(g) = h_{\text{top}}(g)$)

(f_t^g) geodesic flow w.r.t. the metric g

μ_L^g - Liouville measure for g (on S^1M)

V^g = total area of M (volume)

↑ unit tangent bundle w.r.t. g

m^g = normalized Riemannian volume on M

so be a prob. measure

i.e. $m^g = \frac{\text{Vol}^g}{V^g} \llcorner M$

Note for constant -ve curvature K Euler characteristic

$$h(M_L^g) = \sqrt{-K} = \left(\frac{-2\pi \chi(M)}{V^g} \right)^{1/2}$$

Theorem (Katok '82)

For any neg. curv. g on M

$$h(M_L^g) \leq \left(\frac{-2\pi \chi(M)}{V^g} \right)^{1/2}$$

with equality iff constant neg. curv.

↑ i.e. fixing area: $g \rightarrow h(M_L^g)$ has its maximum at constant neg. curvature

Geometry fact:

Any negative curvature metric g on a surface can be written $g = P g_0$ where $P > 0$ is a scalar function on M and g_0 is a constant neg. curv. metric with $V^{g_0} = V^g$

Comparing metrics

g_1, g_2 Riem. metrics

Definition

"average value of $\frac{g_2\text{-length}}{g_1\text{-length}}$ "

$$[g_1 \geq g_2] = \int_{V \in S^{g_1}_M} \|V\|_{g_2} d\mu_L^{g_1}(V)$$

Lemma 1 $[g_1 \geq P g_1] = \int_M P^{1/2} dm^{g_1}$

Proof idea:

Note that $\|v\| = \sqrt{g(v,v)}$
 so $\|v\|_{P g_1} = \sqrt{P(\pi v) g_1(v,v)}$
 $= \sqrt{P(\pi v)} \|v\|_{g_1}$

[Recall: locally $M_L^g = m^g \times (\text{haar on } S^1)]$

[Recall footprint map $\pi: S m \rightarrow m$ $(x,v) \rightarrow x$]

Details: Exercise

Lemma 2 If $g_2 = P g_1$ and g_1, g_2 have same volume

a) $[g_1 \geq g_2] = [g_2 \geq g_1]$

b) $\int_M P^{1/2} dm^{g_1} \leq 1$ with equality if and only if $P \equiv 1$

[$S_1 \geq S_2$] by Lemma 1

[If $\dim M = n$ set $P^{1/2}$]

Proof a) If $g_2 = P g_1$, then $Vol_{g_2} = P Vol_{g_1}$
 so $dm^{g_2} = \frac{V^{g_1}}{V^{g_2}} P dm^{g_1}$

So if $V^{g_2} = V^{g_1}$, then $[g_1; g_2] = \int_m P^{1/2} dm^{g_1}$
 $= \int_m P^{-1/2} dm^{g_2}$
 $= [g_2; g_1]$

b) $\int_m P dm^{g_1} = \int_m I dm^{g_2} = 1$

↑ since m is prob. measure

So by Cauchy-Schwarz (or Jensen) $(\int_m P^{1/2} dm^{g_1})^2 \leq 1$

with equality iff $P=1$

Combining lemma 1 and 2

$[g_1; g_2] = [g_2; g_1] \leq 1$ with equality iff $P=1$

Key result

If $g_2 = P g_1$ both with neg. curv. Then

$h^*(g_2) \geq \frac{h(\mu_L^{g_1})}{[g_1; g_2]}$

Equality is free when $P=1$ (constant neg. curvature)

Proof of Katok's thm give Key result:

Let $g_0 = P g_1$ with same volume V and g_0 has constant neg. curv.

Then $(\frac{-2\pi \chi(m)}{V})^{1/2} = h_{top}(g_0) = h^*(g_0)$

key result $\rightarrow \geq \frac{h(\mu_L^{g_1})}{[g_1; g_0]}$

By lemma 2, $[g_0, g_1] = [g_1, g_0] \leq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus } h(\mu_L^{g_1}) &\leq \left(\frac{-2\pi + (m)}{\sqrt{\quad}} \right)^{1/2} [g_1, g_0] \\ &\leq \left(\frac{-2\pi + (m)}{\sqrt{\quad}} \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

Equality holds only if $[g_1, g_0] = 1 \Leftrightarrow p = 1$ \blacksquare

Proof of key result

For $T > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$, let

$$A_{\varepsilon, T} = \left\{ v \in S^{g_1, m} : \left| \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \|f_t^{g_1} v\|_{g_2} dt - [g_1, g_2] \right| < \varepsilon \right\}$$

We know that $\mu_L^{g_1}$ is ergodic (Hopf argument last time)

By ergodic theorem

$$\mu_L^{g_1}(A_{\varepsilon, T}) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty$$

Recall $[g_1, g_2] = \int_{S^m} \|v\|_{g_2} d\mu_L^{g_1}$. So use ergodic theorem on observable $v \mapsto \|v\|_{g_2}$.

To be continued next time...

Math 7222 Lecture 29 - Katok entropy (ctd.)

Last time: Proved $g \rightarrow h(\mu_L^g)$ is maximized at constant neg. curv. surface
 given the key result.

Key result

If $g_2 = P g_1$ both with neg. curv. Then

$$L^*(g_2) \geq \frac{h(\mu_L^{g_1})}{[g_1 : g_2]}$$

TODAY: PROOF OF KEY RESULT

For $T > 0, \epsilon > 0$, let

$$A_{\epsilon, T} = \left\{ v \in S^{g_1, m} : \left| \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \|f_t^{g_1} v\|_{g_2} dt - [g_1 : g_2] \right| < \epsilon \right\}$$

and $A_{\epsilon, 2T} = \bigcap A_{\epsilon, T}$

We know that $\mu_L^{g_1}$ is ergodic (Birkhoff argument last time)

By ergodic theorem

$$\mu_L^{g_1}(A_{\epsilon, T}) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty$$

Recall $[g_1 : g_2] = \int_{S^{g_1, m}} \|v\|_{g_2} d\mu_L^{g_1}$. So use ergodic theorem on observable $v \mapsto \|v\|_{g_2}$

$$\text{Let } B_{\epsilon, T} = \left\{ v \in S^{g_1, m} : \exists t \text{ with } T \leq t \leq (1+\epsilon)T \text{ with } d_{S^{g_1, m}}(v, f_t^{g_1} v) < \epsilon \right\}$$

$$\text{and } B_{\epsilon, \infty} = \bigcap_{T=T_0}^{\infty} B_{\epsilon, T}$$

Claim $\mu_L^{g_1}(B_{\epsilon, T}) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } T \rightarrow \infty$

Let \mathcal{Z} be finite partition of $S^{g_1, m}$ into sets of diam $< \epsilon$. Let $C \in \mathcal{Z}$

By ergodicity, $\exists Z$ with full measure

so for all $v \in Z$, $\lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} \chi_C(f_t v) = \mu_L^{g_1}(C)$

where $S_T \rho = \int_0^T \rho(t, v) dt$ and $\chi = \chi_c$
 Subclaim: given $v \in Z$, we must have $T_0 = T_0(v)$
 so for all $T \geq T_0$ $S_T \chi(v) < S_{(1+\varepsilon)T} \chi(v)$

Proof of subclaim: If not, $\exists T_k \rightarrow \infty$ with
 $S_{T_k} \chi(v) = S_{T_k(1+\varepsilon)} \chi(v)$

$$\text{Thus } \frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \frac{1}{T_k} S_{T_k} \chi(v) = \frac{1}{(1+\varepsilon)T_k} S_{T_k(1+\varepsilon)} \chi(v)$$

Taking limits $\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon} \mu(c) = \mu(c)$. Contradiction \square

It follows that $f_{\varepsilon} v \in C$ for some $t \in [T, (1+\varepsilon)T]$
 (for any $T \geq T_0$)

Thus for a.e. $v \in C$, $\exists T_0 = T_0(v)$ so $v \in B_{\varepsilon, \geq T_0}$

Carry out same argument for each c .

$$\text{Thus } \mu_L \left(\bigcup_T B_{\varepsilon, \geq T} \right) = 1$$

Since $B_{\varepsilon, \geq T}$ increases with T

$$\text{So } \mu_L \left(\bigcup_T B_{\varepsilon, \geq T} \right) \nearrow 1$$

$$\text{In particular, } \mu_L \left(\bigcup_T B_{\varepsilon, T} \right) \nearrow 1 \quad \square$$

We conclude that $\forall \varepsilon, \delta > 0$ small, $\exists T$ such that
 with $\mu_L^g \left(A_{\varepsilon, \geq T} \cap B_{\delta, \geq T} \right) > \frac{1}{2}$

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $\delta > 0$ be such that if
 $d(v, f_{\varepsilon} v) < \delta$ \exists closed geodesic γ_v of

period t' with $|t-t'| < 1$
 such that $d_t(v, w) < \varepsilon$, where $w = \gamma_v(0)$ (i.e. δ constant coming from Anosov closing lemma to close γ_v with ε)

Let T be large enough that

$$\mu_L^{g_1}(A_{\varepsilon, \geq T} \cap B_{\delta, \geq T}) > \frac{1}{2}$$

Let E_t be maximal $(t, 3\varepsilon)$ -sep. set for $(A_{\varepsilon, \geq T} \cap B_{\delta, \geq T})$

Recall that $\frac{1}{t} \log \# E_t \rightarrow h(\mu_L^{g_1})$

by Katok entropy formula for flows

For each $v \in E_t$, we obtain a closed geodesic γ_v from the Anosov closing lemma

Let $w(v)$ be on the geodesic γ_v with $d_t(v, w(v)) < \varepsilon$

Then $\{w(v) : v \in E_t\}$ is (t, ε) -separated

and $\#\{\gamma_v : v \in E_t\} \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{t(1+\varepsilon)+\varepsilon} \# E_t$



min. distance between $w(v)$'s
 upper bound on period of γ

Since (M, g_1) has negative curvature all these geodesics belong to different free homotopy classes

Lemma $\exists K(\varepsilon)$ (ind. of t and satisfies $K(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$)

so that the g_2 -length of γ_v satisfies

$$L_{g_2}(\gamma_v) \leq t \{ \{g_1, g_2\} + K(\varepsilon) \}$$

[γ_v is closed geodesic in g_1 . But w.r.t. g_2 it is a closed curve (not geodesic)]

Proof

Estimate g_2 -length of γ_V :

$$L_{g_2}(\gamma_V) = \int_0^{t'/n} \|f_s^{g_1} w(V)\|_{g_2} ds$$

$$\leq \int_0^{t'} \|f_s^{g_1} w(V)\|_{g_2} ds$$

$$= \int_0^k \|f_s^{g_1} w(V)\|_{g_2} ds + \int_t^{t'} \|f_s^{g_1} w(V)\|_{g_2} ds$$

[here $t' \in (t, t+k+\varepsilon)$ is a period of γ_V , so least period is some t'/n]

$$\leq \int_0^k \|f_s^{g_1} v\|_{g_2} ds + \left(\int_0^t [\|f_s^{g_1} w(V)\| - \|f_s^{g_1} v\|] ds \right) + \varepsilon t k_0$$

Let $\text{Var}(\varepsilon) = \max \{ |\|v\| - \|w\|| : d_{g_1, g_2}(v, w) < \varepsilon \}$

and recall that $\int_0^k \|f_s^{g_1} v\|_{g_2} ds < t([\rho_{g_1, g_2}] + \varepsilon)$

We obtain $L_{g_2}(\gamma_V) \leq t([\rho_{g_1, g_2}] + \varepsilon + \text{Var}(\varepsilon) + \varepsilon k_0)$

Letting $K(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon + \text{Var}(\varepsilon) + \varepsilon k_0$, we're done

Let Π be free homotopy class containing γ_V
 \exists a shortest curve w.r.t. g_2 , denote α ,
 which is a closed geodesic w.r.t. g_2

We have $L_{g_2}(\alpha) \leq L_{g_2}(\gamma_V) \leq t([\rho_{g_1, g_2}] + K(\varepsilon))$

Thus

$$\# \text{Per}^{g_2}(t([\rho_{g_1, g_2}] + K(\varepsilon))) \geq \# \{ \gamma_V : v \in E_\varepsilon \}$$

$$\geq \frac{\varepsilon}{t(1+\varepsilon)+\varepsilon} \# E_\varepsilon$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \leq \frac{1}{\epsilon(\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2) + \kappa(\epsilon)} \log \# \text{Per}^2(\epsilon(\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2) + \kappa(\epsilon)) \\
 & \approx (\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2) + \kappa(\epsilon) \left[\frac{1}{\epsilon} \log \# E_\epsilon + \frac{1}{\epsilon} (\log \epsilon - 2 \log \epsilon - 2 \log(4\epsilon)) \right]
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking limits as $\epsilon \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$h^*(g_2) \approx \frac{h(M_L^{g_1})}{(\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2) + \kappa(\epsilon)}$$

Since ϵ was arbitrary and $\kappa(\epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow \infty$, we're done \square

In conclusion, (M, g) variable neg. curv. surface

$$h(M_L^g) \leq \left(\frac{-2\pi \chi(M)}{V_g} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

with equality iff constant neg. curv. " $h^*(g_0)$ "

'88 four applications of conformal equivalence in ...
ETDS

Math 7222 Lecture 3D

Last time:

In conclusion, (M, g) variable neg. curv. surface

$$h(\mu_L^g) \leq \left(\frac{-2\pi \chi(M)}{V^g} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

with equality iff constant neg. curv. " $h^*(g_0)$ "

Today:

Theorem (M, g) closed Riem. surface

$g_0 = P g$ where g_0 has constant -ve curvature (always happens when genus ≥ 2 by uniformization)

Assume $V^{g_0} = V^g$.

Then $h_{\text{top}}(g) \geq h_{\text{top}}(g_0)$

with equality iff $P = 1$ " $\left(\frac{-2\pi \chi(M)}{V^g} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ "

i.e. $g \Rightarrow h_{\text{top}}(g)$ is minimized at constant neg. curvature

Consequence: ^{For surfaces.} Among neg. curv. metrics with fixed area, $h(\mu_L^g) = h_{\text{top}}(g)$ iff g

has constant neg. curvature

In particular, $\mu_{\text{Bm}}^g = \mu_L^g$ iff g has constant negative curvature.

Proof It suffices to show $h(g) \geq h_{\text{top}}(g_0)$
 where $g_0 = Pg$, $V^{g_0} = V^g$, g_0 constant
 neg. curv.

For large T , consider $\text{Per}^{g_0}(T)$

We know $\frac{1}{T} \log \# \text{Per}^{g_0}(T) \rightarrow h_{\text{top}}(g_0)$

We also know that $\mu_L^{g_0}$ is the unique
 NME for $(f_t^{g_0})$. For $\varphi \in C(SM)$

let $\varepsilon > 0$ and define

$$\text{Per}^{g_0}(t, \varepsilon, \varphi) = \left\{ \gamma \in \text{Per}^{g_0}(t) : \left| \frac{\int \varphi d\mu_\gamma}{L^2(\gamma)} - \int \varphi d\mu_L^{g_0} \right| < \varepsilon \right\}$$

$= \int \varphi(f_t^{g_0} \gamma) d\gamma$

Claim: $\frac{\# \text{Per}^{g_0}(t, \varepsilon, \varphi)}{\# \text{Per}(t)} \rightarrow 1$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$

Sketch proof. If not, there are "enough"
 (i.e. $\gg \varepsilon_0 \# \text{Per}(t)$) γ not in $\text{Per}^{g_0}(t, \varepsilon, \varphi)$
 to construct an NME, m . By construction
 $\int \varphi dm \neq \int \varphi d\mu_L^{g_0}$. By uniqueness of
 the NME, this is a contradiction.

Now assume T is large enough so that

$$\# \text{Per}(T, \varepsilon, \rho^{-1/2}) > (1 - \varepsilon) \# \text{Per}(T)$$

(where $g_0 = Pg$)

Note that $\int_{S^{g_0, m}} \rho^{-1/2} d\mu_L^{g_0} = \int_m \rho^{-1/2} dm^{g_0}$
 $= \int_m \rho^{1/2} dm^g$

Lecture 28 \Rightarrow Lemma 1 $[g, \rho g] = [g, g_0]$

Note that $L^g(\gamma) = \int_0^{L^{g_0}(\gamma)} \|\dot{f}_s^{g_0} \dot{\gamma}(0)\|_g ds$
 $= \int \sqrt{\rho^{-1} g_0(\cdot, \cdot)} ds$
 $= \int_0^{L^{g_0}(\gamma)} \rho^{-1/2} ds$

We have $L^g(\gamma) = L^{g_0}(\gamma) \cdot (\text{average of } \rho^{-1/2} \text{ along } \gamma)$

For $\gamma \in \text{Per}^{g_0}(T, \varepsilon, \rho^{-1/2})$

then $L^g(\gamma) \leq T([g, g_0] + \varepsilon)$

Replace each such γ by g -shortest curve in its homotopy class. We have shown that

$$\# \text{Per}^g(T([g, g_0] + \varepsilon)) \geq \# \text{Per}^{g_0}(T, \varepsilon, \rho^{-1/2}) \geq (1 - \varepsilon) \# \text{Per}^{g_0}(T)$$

It follows that

$$h^*(g) \geq [g, g_0]^{-1} h^*(g_0)$$

Recall $[g, g_0] \leq 1$ with equality iff $g = g_0$

Thus $h^*(g) \geq h^*(g_0)$ with equality iff $\rho = 1$ \blacksquare

Higher dimensions

Besson - Courtois - Gallot showed that

$h_{\text{top}}(g)$ is minimized at constant neg. curvature in all dimensions (90's)
(actually at neg. curv. locally symmetric)

- $g \Rightarrow h(M_L^g)$ is a mystery in high dimensions. Definitely not maximised at constant curvature (Flaminio)

- $g \Rightarrow h_{\text{top}}(g) = h(M_L^g)$???

Katok entropy conjecture says that
 $h_{\text{top}}(g) = h(M_L^g)$ iff ^{locally} symmetric
Open and challenging!

┌ Foulon: Extension of Katok to 3D contact Anosov flows ┘